実験報告書様式(CROSS 開発課題)

	承認日 Date of Approval	
Experimental Report	承認者 Approver	
	提出日 Date of report	
実験課題番号 Project No.	装置責任者 Name of Instrument scientist	
2017C0004	Takenao Shinohara	
実験課題名 Title of experiment	装置名 Name of Instrument/(BL No.)	
Development of event-type neutron imaging detectors and imaging	RADEN/(BL22)	
techniques for energy-resolved neutron imaging	利用期間 Dates of experiments	
実験責任者名 Name of principal investigator	2017/4/27 (1 day)	
Joseph Don Parker	2017/6/2 (1 day)	
所属 Affiliation	2017/6/19-20 (2 days)	
Neutron Science and Technology Center, CROSS	2017/11/19 (1 day)	
	2018/1/10 (1 day)	
	2018/3/31-4/1 (2 days)	
	Total beam time: 8 days	

1. 研究成果概要(試料の名称、組成、物理的・化学的性状を明記するとともに、実験方法、利用の結果得られた主なデータ、考察、結論、図表等を記述してください。

Outline of experimental results (experimental method and results should be reported including sample information such as composition, physical and/or chemical characteristics.

Introduction

RADEN/BL22 [1] represents the world's first instrument dedicated to energy-resolved neutron imaging techniques using a short-pulsed neutron beam. Energy-resolved neutron imaging techniques allow one to extract quantitative information on the macroscopic distribution of microscopic quantities of an object by analyzing the energy-dependent neutron transmission spectrum point-by-point over a sample. Performing such measurements at a high-intensity, short-pulsed neutron beam, such as at the J-PARC/MLF, requires advanced detectors with a combination of sub-µs time resolution, sub-mm to sub-100µm spatial resolution, and very high count-rate capabilities. The development of such detectors remains a challenge and is of paramount importance to reach the full potential of energy-resolved neutron imaging techniques.

At BL22, our development efforts are focused on two detectors: the micropixel chamber (μ PIC)-based neutron imaging detector (μ NID) [2], which provides a spatial resolution of 0.1 mm and an effective count-rate capability of 1 Mcps, and the Li-glass scintillator pixel detector (LiTA12) [3], which provides a count-rate capability of up to 60 Mcps or more but with a low spatial resolution of 3 mm. As part of our ongoing development work, we carried out the following measurements under the current project: (1) on-beam characterization of new readout elements for the μ NID for improved spatial resolution (MEMS μ PIC with reduced strip pitch) and increased count-rate (3-axis μ PIC with additional readout plane [4]), (2) on-beam characterization of a new version of the μ NID detector incorporating a boron-coated neutron converter (Boron- μ NID) to achieve increased count rate, and (3) proof-of-principle demonstration of a super resolution imaging method to improve the spatial resolution of the LiTA12 detector.

Experimental methods

For the detector characterizations, we performed measurements to study the efficiency, gain stability, spatial resolution, and count-rate linearity. We also performed measurements of standard samples provided by BL22 for direct comparison with the other event-type detectors in use at BL22. The measurement procedures are described in Table 1, followed by a description of the samples used during the experiments in Table 2. For the spatial resolution and standard sample measurements, measurements with the sample and without the sample were taken, and the neutron transmission was then calculated as the ratio of the sample to no-sample measurements. This corrected for the intensity distribution of the beam and any detector specific image artifacts.

For the super resolution method, the experimental setup consisted of the LiTA12 detector mounted on a remote-controlled x-y stage. A series of images was then taken by stepping the detector over a two-dimensional grid perpendicular to the beam direction, with sub-pixel step sizes of 0.1 or 0.5 mm. The images were then combined off-line to form the super resolution image.

Attribute	Detector	Description		
Efficiency	Boron-µNID	Compare observed count rate with that of a ³ He detector of known		
		efficiency under identical experimental conditions		
Gain stability	MEMS µPIC	Monitor gain stability over time (several hours ~ 1 day) under		
		exposure to various neutron intensities. The intensity was controlled		
		by changing the upstream collimation of the beam.		
Spatial resolution	All	Take images of Gd test pattern with Siemen's star, line-pair patterns,		
		etc., for quantitative determination of spatial resolution.		
Count-rate linearity	Boron-µNID	Measure detector response to varying neutron beam intensity. The		
		intensity was controlled by changing the opening area of beamline		
		slits located upstream of the detector.		

Table 1. Description of measurement methods for detector characterization.

Table 2. Description of samples used during the current experiments.

Name	Composition	Form	Cell	Description	
Gd test pattern	Gd, Si	Solid	None	3µm-thick layer of Gd on glass substrate	
Fe step wedge	Fe	Solid	None	1 cm x 5 cm x 2 cm, wedge-shaped	
Cu step wedge	Cu	Solid	None	1 cm x 5 cm x 2 cm, wedge-shaped	
C step wedge	С	Solid	None	1 cm x 5 cm x 2 cm, wedge-shaped	
Fe pellets	Fe	Solid	Aluminum	$\phi 1 \sim 3$ mm pellets in rectangular aluminum cell	

All samples were provided by the BL22 Instrument Group.

必要に応じて、A4 サイズの用紙に続きを記入して下さい。 Please use A4-size papers for further reporting, if necessary.

Results

The measurements described above were carried out over 8 days (4 days in 2017A, 4 days in 2017B). A summary of the beam times and measurements performed are listed in Table 3.

	Dates	Detector	Measurements	Samples
1	2017/4/27	MEMS µPIC	Gain stability versus incident intensity	None
2	2017/6/2	Boron-µNID	Count-rate linearity (beam power: 150 kW),	Gd test pattern
			spatial resolution, standard samples	Fe, Cu, C step wedges
		MEMS µPIC	Gain stability, spatial resolution with	
			small-area MEMS µPIC	
3	2017/6/19-20	LiTA12	Super resolution (detector stepping)	Gd test pattern
4	2017/11/19	LiTA12	Super resolution (detector stepping)	Gd test pattern
5	2018/1/10	3-axis µPIC	Operation test	Gd test pattern
		Boron-µNID	Count-rate linearity (beam power: 400 kW),	Fe, Cu, C step wedges
			spatial resolution, standard samples	Fe pellets
6	2018/3/31-4/1	Boron-µNID	Count-rate linearity (beam power: 400 kW),	Gd test pattern
			spatial resolution, efficiency	
		MEMS µPIC	Gain stability, spatial resolution with	
			large-area MEMS μPIC	

Table 3. Summary of measurements carried out under current project.

MEMS μ **PIC** – The nearly two-times reduction in pitch of the MEMS μ PIC (from 400 μ m to 215 μ m) is expected to provide a similar improvement in the spatial resolution. A study of the gain stability versus exposure time and intensity was carried out to characterize a large gain instability observed in preliminary testing in JPY2016. We eventually found that grounding the silicon substrate of the MEMS μ PIC appeared to stabilize the gain as demonstrated in Fig. 1. The small size of the first MEMS μ PIC (1.4 cm × 1.4 cm), however, made it difficult to determine the spatial resolution. A larger area MEMS μ PIC (5.5 cm × 5.5 cm, delivered mid-March 2018) was also tested, and the data analysis to determine the spatial resolution is underway.

Figure 1. (Left) Plot of MEMS μ PIC gain over time under neutron exposure with and without substrate grounding. (Right) Image of a gadolinium test chart (Siemen's star) taken with the MEMS μ PIC with a field of view of 1.4 cm × 1.4 cm. Image distortion is due to the small size and damaged readout strips.





3-axis μ **PIC** – The 3-axis μ PIC includes an additional readout strip-plane oriented at a 45° angle to the usual orthogonal x and y readout planes. The additional information from the 3rd strip plane is expected to improve event separation at high rates, thus improving the count-rate performance of the detector. We confirmed signals from the third-axis strip layer. The pulse heights, however, were too small to provide useful data. The design of the 3-axis readout is now being reconsidered.

Boron-µNID – Using a boron converter reduces the number of hit strips per neutron event (and thereby, increases the maximum count rate) due to the shorter track lengths of the α and Li nucleus in the gas, as compared to the proton and triton of the ³He case. We carried out a gas study, measuring the spatial resolution/event size versus gas pressure (Fig. 2). The filling gas was CF₄-isobutane (90:10) at pressures from 1.2 to 1.6 atm. We confirmed both the expected roughly 3-times reduction in event size as compared to ³He and, after the increase in beam power of the MLF to 400 kW, a maximum hardware count-rate increase to 21 Mcps.

Figure 2. (Left) Image of the Gd test pattern taken with the Boron- μ NID with a field of view of 7.7 cm × 7.7 cm. The gas pressure was 1.6 atm, and the observed spatial resolution is 0.45 mm. (Right) Table summarizing gas study. Increased gas pressure reduced the event size and improved the spatial resolution.

J-PARC		
- Stille-		
- 3 8.	10	
	landarik	111
-	- Contraction	+

Pressure (atm)	1.2	1.4	1.6
Average hits/ event	5.86	5.42	4.82
MTF @0.6mm	27%	36%	41%
Spatial resolution @10% MTF (mm)	0.50	0.48	0.45

Super resolution with LiTA12 – In this super resolution method, it was expected that features smaller than the pixel size of the detector can be extracted by combining multiple images taken at transverse sub-pixel shifts of the detector relative to the sample. Using a simple image reconstruction method, the extraction of sub-pixel features was confirmed (Fig. 3), and we are now considering a more sophisticated image compositing algorithm.

Figure 3. (Left) A single image of the Gd test pattern taken with the LiTA12 detector with 3 mm pixel size. (Right) A composite image made from a 6×6 scan (step size 0.5 mm) showing features smaller than the pixel size of the detector. The field of view is 5 cm×5 cm for both images.





Conclusion

In the current project, we were able to carry out our detector testing as planned, and the information obtained was invaluable for our continuing development efforts. The operation of the new small-pitch MEMS μ PIC was confirmed, and the large gain instability initially observed appears to have been resolved by grounding the silicon substrate of the detection element. The determination of the spatial resolution is ongoing. The expected operating characteristics of the Boron- μ NID were also confirmed, and its optimization will continue for use as a high count-rate detector at BL22. On the other hand, the 3-axis μ PIC did not operate as expected, and it is now being redesigned. Finally, the results for the super resolution method utilizing multiple image composition showed promise as a means to improve the spatial resolution of the LiTA12 detector. We are continuing our detector development in JPY2018 under the CROSS Development Project No. 2018C0002.

References

[1] T. Shinohara et al., J. Phys.: Conf. Series 746, 012007 (2016).

- [2] J.D. Parker et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 726, 155 (2013).
- [3] S. Satoh, JPS Conf. Proc. 8, 051001 (2015).
- [4] Japan Patent Application No. 2015-217614.